

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

6 * * *

7 JUANITA R. NAVARETTE,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 ART OF SHAVING, LLC, et al.,

11 Defendants.
12

Case No. 2:14-cv-1161-APG-CWH

ORDER

13
14 On December 4, 2014, defendant The Art of Shaving, LLC (“TAOS”) filed a motion to
15 dismiss. (Dkt. #11.) On December 17, 2014, defendant CoWorx Staffing Services, LLC
16 (“CoWorx”) filed a motion to dismiss. (Dkt. #16.) No opposition has been filed to either motion.
17 Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(d), the failure to file points and authorities in response to a motion
18 constitutes consent to the granting of the motion. Nevertheless, I have reviewed both motions to
19 dismiss, and they are meritorious.

20 On December 30, 2014, Magistrate Judge Hoffman granted Plaintiff’s motion to extend
21 time to effectuate service. (Dkt. ##21, 23) Magistrate Judge Hoffman gave Plaintiff until January
22 29, 2015 to serve the remaining defendants. To date, Plaintiff has failed to prove effective
23 service. Therefore, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m), good cause exists to dismiss the complaint
24 as to defendants Christine Lambert, Laurina Esposito, Corina Mettlin, Lizette Salas, and Herzog.

25 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the motions to dismiss filed by defendants TAOS
26 and CoWorx (Dkt. ##11, 16) are GRANTED. The complaint is dismissed as to those defendants.
27
28

1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the complaint is dismissed as to defendants Christine
2 Lambert, Laurina Esposito, Corina Mettlin, Lizette Salas, and Herzog for failure to timely serve
3 them with process.

4 The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly.

5 Dated: February 19, 2015.

6
7
8 
9 _____
10 ANDREW P. GORDON
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28